

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,806	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sin J. Lee	1752	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sin J. Lee. (3) _____.
- (2) Mr. Scott M. Woodhouse. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 4,6,10 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In Claim 4 (4th line following the chemical formula), "a compound" will be deleted (since the term is redundant). In both Claims 6 and 10, "monomer or" will be deleted in the 1st line, and "1" will be changed to --- 2 --- in the last line (because the chemical formulas of 6 and 10 can only be for polymers and thus cannot have $n = 1$). Claim 31 will be canceled (it is a product-by-process claim, and any art that teaches a semiconductor device can be used to reject the claim). In both Paragraphs [0026] and [0028] of present specification, in the 4th line following the chemical formula, "monomer or" will be deleted and in the 5th line following the formula, "1" will be changed to --- 2 -- (because the chemical formulas shown in those paragraphs can only be for polymers and thus cannot have $n = 1$).